

AIR

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 5828
VAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

EGLA-2679

Chief, NE

9 March 1953

Chief of Base, Pullach

Operational

Leftlutter testing

DATE:	14 MAR. 1953
1	GD
2	Sec
3	GD
4	Sec

1. Subject, known as [] was interviewed on 22 February 1953 []
[], at the request of [] for security evaluation to verify
bona fides and determine motivation.

2. Background information.

a. Personal history facts, as submitted by subject, do not appear to be true. There are strong indications of deception in regards to subject's birthplace which he claims to be in Cherkassia, Russia. Subject stated that since he has no documents showing his place of birth he can only go by what his mother has told, namely that he was born in Russia shortly before his family fled to Aleppo.

Examiner's note: This is obviously a lie merely to insure that subject gets on the Russian quota for immigration to the U.S. This deception was suspected by [] the case officer, previous to the leftlutter examination. Subject's attention was called to this reaction and even though it was apparent that the reaction worried him he merely answered that he disliked being in a situation where he was unable to prove his place and date of birth.

b. The following specific operational questions were asked subject during the testing:

Were you ever in Caucasus at all? Yes. Reaction.
Were you ever in Russia at all? Yes. Reaction.
Were you in contact with the British Intelligence Service in 1952?
No. Reaction.
Are you loyal to the Cherkassian Independence Movement? Yes. Reaction.

3. Motivation.

a. During the entire testing subject had consistent reactions to all questions pertaining to any possible contact with the Soviet Intelligence. After each such question there were pronounced deception patterns.

b. In view of the fact that subject was not to be told about the outcome of the test the post leftlutter interrogation was very slight. It was, therefore, not determined to what extent subject maintains contact with the Soviet IS; however, it was evident that a certain amount of contact does exist. Likewise it is

Dist:

3 - NE

3 - COM

1 - Case officer

evident that subject still maintains some contact with BIS.

c. Upon completion of the first chart subject's attention was called to the fact that the question in regard to his place of birth seemed to upset him emotionally. Subject very neatly evaded this point by saying that it was embarrassing for him not to be able to prove his birth in any way.

d. Subsequent to this discussion subject's reactions became more pronounced since he realized the effectiveness of the instrument. In later charts, however, his reactions decreased in strength, probably due to the fact that he gradually gained more and more confidence in himself, since no more specific reactions were called to his attention again.

4. Degree of accuracy. In view of the above testing results appear to be fairly accurate.

5. Remarks.

Subject initially gives a very bad impression due to his shiftiness and inability to look his examiner straight in the eyes. Gradually, however, the impression is somewhat improved by the sincere manner in which he discusses the Cherkessian Independence movement, a movement that he probably merely exploits for his own personal advantage and gain. Subject is well versed in overall political conditions in the Near East and knows a large number of influential persons, primarily Cherkessians. In this connection it is interesting to note that NOSTRIL, who was tested by this examiner a few days later, knows subject quite well. NOSTRIL states that it is well known to all local Cherkessians that subject was born in Syria and never was in Russia at all. NOSTRIL further states that he (NOSTRIL) has absolutely no confidence in subject since subject has been reported to have been in contact with a known BIS agent in the fall of 1952. NOSTRIL also states that [] associates a lot with a man who is frequently seen together with the local Soviet officials. It was unfortunate that the order in which [] and NOSTRIL were tested was not reversed, since NOSTRIL's information would have helped in making the examination of [] more complete. If [] is to be examined or interrogated again it is strongly recommended that all the information regarding him that NOSTRIL is in possession of be made available to the examiner or interrogator.

Approved by

[]